## PREFERENCE FOR PRODUCT COLOR

- Dealing with the evaluation differences among presentation methods -


EXP. 1
A product name and a color chip were presented to the subject.
The consequence of the factor analysis though thedlistribution have small shifts


EXP. 2
A line d
chip werawing of a product and a color The consequence of the factor analysis is similar to the EXP. 1.
Factor scores are also. very similar factor scores are also very similar to
the EXP. 1 , too. (the lines on the figure


Cluster 1


Cluster 2


## Cluster 3

EXP. 3
A color-simulated image was presented to the subject
The consequence of the factor analysis is similar not only among the three major
subject culsters but also to the EXP. 1 \& 2 subject culsters but also to the EXP. 1 \&


Factor 1
on the two major subject culsters.
Factor scores show that Cluster 1 Factor scores show that Cluster 1 2 prefers white to red and black.

above indicates the differences) The image matching of a line drawing and a color should be similar to the matching of the product indicated by a word and a color.

preference each other. Futhermore, they
have difference from EXP. $1 \& 2$, especial have difference from EXP. 1 \& 2, especially the direction of Factor 2. It suggests the preference juigement process of the
private use product is different from the one of the public use product.
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## ABSTRACT

The authors conducted three experiments whose methods of presenting objects were different from each other, to examine the validity of the method that Japan Color Research Institute had been used. The product name and color chip were presented in experiment 1 , the line figure of the product was added in experiment 2, and the color-simulated image was presented in experiment 3. The mean ratings of two or three major subject groups were derived from the cluster analysis used to conduct a factor analysis. The factor coefficients of the products were similar not only among the subject groups but also among the experiments. On the other hand, the factor scores among colors varied among
experiments 1, 2, to 3. The color preference differences among the subject groups were also varied from the former two to the third. Considerable attention on this topic is required to be able to interpret the past survey.


The presented images in the Exp. 3


The product distribution on the factor coefficients are
e similar among three experiments. It suggests the stability of evaluation mechanism.
The color distribution difference between exp. $1 \& 2$ to 3 on factor scores suggests that the combined image of product and color is different from the direct image of colored product.

